

Central Council for Research in Ayurvedic Sciences

Evaluation Format for Final Report of Spark Project of 2023-24 Batch for Reviewers of Review Committee

Name of Student:

SPARK ID:

S. No.	Criteria	Score Range	Grades Score
1.	Relevance of Title with the Research Work	(1-4)	
2.	Novelty – Idea, Approach, Concept, Hypothesis	(1-6)	
3.	Objectives – Accurate, Feasible, Specific, Measurable	(1-6)	
4.	Methodology	(1-6)	
5.	Method of data collection	(1-6)	
6.	Appropriateness of Study Design	(1-6)	
7.	Assessment tools	(1-6)	
8.	Appropriateness of methods of data analysis / statistical analysis	(1-6)	
9.	Research question(s) addressed	(1-6)	
10.	Translational value	(1-6)	
11.	Timeline followed	(1-6)	
12.	Objectives achieved	(1-6)	
Total (Max. 70)		(12-70)	
Recommended (>35)/ Not Recommended (<35) Please tick			R <input type="checkbox"/> / NR <input type="checkbox"/>
Remarks, if any:			

Dated:

**Signature with Name &
Designation of the Reviewer**



केन्द्रीय आयुर्वेदीय विज्ञान अनुसंधान परिषद्

आयुष मन्त्रालय, भारत सरकार
जवाहर लाल नेहरू भारतीय चिकित्सा एवं होम्योपैथी अनुसंधान भवन
61-65, सांस्थानिक क्षेत्र, सम्मुख 'डी' ब्लॉक, जनकपुरी, नई दिल्ली-110058

CENTRAL COUNCIL FOR RESEARCH IN AYURVEDIC SCIENCES

Ministry of AYUSH, Govt. of India
Jawahar Lal Nehru Bhartiya Chikitsa Evam Homoeopathy Anusandhan Bhawan
61-65, Institutional Area, Opp. 'D' Block, Janakpuri, New Delhi-110058

EPBX
28525852, 28520501
28522524, 28525831
28525862, 28525883
28525897

CCRAS SPARK – 2023-24 FINAL EVALUATION REPORT

1.	Name of the Applicant	:	
2.	Name of the Guide	:	
3.	Name of the College	:	
4.	SPARK ID	:	
5.	Title of the Research Work	:	
6.	Scientific/Technical merit of the work	:	
7.	Comments on Research objectives and outcomes	:	
8.	Comments on work plan, methodology, design and timeline	:	
9.	General comments/recommendations for the future scope of Research	:	
10.	Marks Obtained	:	
11.	Award of Stipend (Granted/Not Granted)	:	
12.	Overall Grade	:	

ALLOCATION OF GRADES

Grading Scale	Grade	Details
Above 85	Excellent	Major contribution to basic knowledge
Below 84 to 65	Very Good	Technically well-presented and has potential for important contribution to the basic knowledge in the area.
<65-50	Good	Technically well presented, but lacks required documents. Contribution to knowledge is possible.

Re-checked by

Signature with Name & Designation of the
Committee Member

Date:

Signature with Name & Designation of
Evaluator

Date: